





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIS OFFICE FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WAS DEPLOYED.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09.826,012 04/05/2001 Kenichi Chujo 0303-0444P 3847 10/08/2002 2242 2590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH EXAMINER PO BOX 747 CHANG, VICTOR S FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10-08-2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applic | ation No. | Ap | oplicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/826 | 09/826,012 | | CHUJO ET AL. | |
| | | Exami | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | | S Chang | | 71 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appears on | the cover s | sheet with the corre | espondence address | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions SIX. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statice to reply within the set or extended period for reply repry received by the Office later than three months a edipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b) | CATION. of 37 CFR 1 136(a) In no nunication 0) days, a reply within the attutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the | event, howevi statutory minin d will expire SI application to b | er, may a reply be timely f num of thirty (30) days will X (6) MONTHS from the r become ABANDONED (3 | iled be considered timely nailing date of this communication 5 U.S.C. § 133) | |
| 1)[>] | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <u>13 Septemb</u> | <u>er 2002</u> . | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action | is non-fina | al. | | |
| 3) <u></u> Dispositi | Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)[🖸 | Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the ap | oplication. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)[🖸 | 6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restric | tion and/or election | n requirem | ent. | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) | objected | to by the Examin | er. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obje | | | | | |
| 11)[_] | The proposed drawing correction filed | | | | by the Examiner. | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are rec | | Office action | n. | | |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority | under 35 (| J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d |) or (f). | |
| a)[| ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority of | documents have b | een receiv | ed. | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority of | documents have b | een receiv | ed in Application N | No | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action | ational Bureau (PC | T Rule 17 | .2(a)). | this National Stage | |
| 14) 🗌 A | scknowledgment is made of a claim fo | or domestic priority | under 35 | U.S.C. § 119(e) (to | o a provisional application). | |
| _ |) \square The translation of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | _F - ··· y | | 33 - 20 3 | - | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P [*] nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa | TO-948) aper No(s) <u>3,4</u> . | 5) 🔲 N | | O-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152) | |
| Patent and Tr | ademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/826,012

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-2, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 0331447.

EP '447 is directed to a molded laminated article made from (a) a composite comprising a crosslinked polyolefin resin foam having an average expansion ratio of 5 to 40, in which the average expansion ratio on the side of one surface of the foam is

Application/Control Number: 09/826,012

Art Unit: 1771

larger than the average expansion ratio on the side of the other surface, and the difference between the average ratios is from 2 to 20, and a skin material is bonded to the surface having the larger average expansion ratio, and (b) a thermoplastic resin as a skeleton material (pg. 3, lns. 13-20). EP '447 also teaches that the article is formed by a compression molding process (pg. 3, lns. 20-24), and it is believed that evacuating gas from mold cavity for compression molding a laminated foamed article is a necessary process step in article formation. In Comparative Example 1, EP '447 teaches that the skin material is bonded to the small average expansion ratio side of the polyolefin foam, and the results summarized in Table 1 shows that, under suitable molding pressure, the composite is still of practical use (pg. 8, lns. 7-36). Claims lack novelty.

The Examiner believes that the product-by-process recitation in claims 1-2 is either inherent in an article formed by a compression molding process, or an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art, which further has not as yet been shown on the record to produce a patentably distinct article. Alternatively, note also that EP '447 teaches that in the prior art removing the air from the mold cavity by vacuum is a conventional process step during compression molding (pg. 2, lns. 20-25). As such, if the reference is not anticipating, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to form the article by including an evacuation step in a compression molding process, motivated by the desire to fill the mold properly.

5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0331447 in view of admitted prior art.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/826,012

Art Unit: 1771

The reference is again relied upon substantially as set forth above. If, for the purpose of anticipation, the reference is believed to teach such a number of different embodiments that the specific parameters of each of the claims cannot be considered to be in possession of the skilled artisan, the Examiner believes that, alternatively, each of the claimed embodiments is at most a minor modification to one of ordinary skill.

Alternatively, Applicants appear to admit that the prior art teaches that when a foamed resin component is joined to a surface material with smaller cell size in the joint area, the molding irregularity is removed (Specification, pg. 5, lines 11-21), as such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify EP '447 to place the surface layer against the side of foam with smaller expansion ratio (i.e., small cell size) as taught by admitted prior art, motivated by the desire to reduce irregularity in the molded laminate.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 703-605-4296. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

1150 VSC

October 4, 2002

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINE:
GROUP 1300

Daniel Zinken